

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES BEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/650,584	08/30/2000	Howard N. Straub	RES-101A	9208		
7590 05/20/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Thomas M. Saunders			BUI, V	BUI, VY Q		
Brown Rudnick BERLACK ISRAELS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ONE fINANCIAL CENTER 18TH FLOOR			3731	TAL EN NOMBER		
Boston, MA 02111			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

		C
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/650,584	STRAUB, HOWARD N.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Vy Q. Bui	3731	

Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
¥1	Vy Q. Bui	3731					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 02 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the							
following time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	the final rejection		,				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d)⊠ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		Aire also Elland ann am des					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendr	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,6,8,11,12,17 and 24-29</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut hoforo or on the date of filing a l	Notice of Anneal will I	not be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow:	ance hecause.				
See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 9/7/2004 (14 sheets).							
13. Other:							
		Vy Q. Bui					
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3731					

05/17/2005

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 30-34 (5 claims) were newly added and claims 3, 17 (two claims) were cancelled by the amendment after final "Office Action". At least new feature "capital t-shaped"/capitol T" was introduced in newly added independent claims 32 and 33. The references in the IDS(s) were not available at the time of the first "Office Action" and the final "Office Action". However, the CD-ROM (artifact) containing references for this application has been received recently by the Examiner and all of the references available in the USPTO for this application have been considered (please see 14-page initialized IDS) during the pendency of the application.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: at least independent claim 24 does not appear to be patentable over the applied reference in the previous "Final Office Action" (Wong-4,521,210).